

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

PATRICK PHILIP DECAROLIS,

Petitioner,

vs.

B. WILLIAMS, *et al.*,

Respondents.

Case No. 2:14-cv-01379-KJD-PAL

ORDER

Petitioner Patrick Philip Decarolis has submitted a petition for a writ of habeas corpus and has now paid the filing fee. The court has reviewed the petition pursuant to Habeas Rule 4, and the petition shall be docketed and served upon the respondents.

A petition for federal habeas corpus should include all claims for relief of which petitioner is aware. If petitioner fails to include such a claim in his petition, he may be forever barred from seeking federal habeas relief upon that claim. *See* 28 U.S.C. §2254(b) (successive petitions). If petitioner is aware of any claim not included in his petition, he should notify the court of that as soon as possible, perhaps by means of a motion to amend his petition to add the claim.

Also before the court is petitioner's motion for the appointment of counsel (ECF #2). There is no constitutional right to appointed counsel for a federal habeas corpus proceeding. *Pennsylvania v. Finley*, 481 U.S. 551, 555 (1987); *Bonin v. Vasquez*, 999 F.2d 425, 428 (9th Cir.1993). The decision to appoint counsel is generally discretionary. *Chaney v. Lewis*, 801 F.2d 1191, 1196 (9th Cir.1986),

1 cert. denied, 481 U.S. 1023 (1987); *Bashor v. Risley*, 730 F.2d 1228, 1234 (9th Cir.), cert. denied, 469
2 U.S. 838 (1984). However, counsel must be appointed if the complexities of the case are such that
3 denial of counsel would amount to a denial of due process, and where the petitioner is a person of such
4 limited education as to be incapable of fairly presenting his claims. *See Chaney*, 801 F.2d at 1196; *see*
5 *also Hawkins v. Bennett*, 423 F.2d 948 (8th Cir.1970). The petition on file in this action appears
6 sufficiently clear in presenting the issues that petitioner wishes to raise, and the legal issues do not
7 appear to be particularly complex; therefore, counsel is not justified. Petitioner's motion is denied.

8 **IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED** that Clerk shall file and **ELECTRONICALLY SERVE**
9 the petition (ECF #s 1-1, 1-2) on the respondents.

10 **IT FURTHER IS ORDERED** that respondents shall file a response to the petition, including
11 potentially by motion to dismiss, within **one hundred twenty (120) days** of service of the petition, with
12 any requests for relief by petitioner by motion otherwise being subject to the normal briefing schedule
13 under the local rules. **Any response filed shall comply with the remaining provisions below, which**
14 **are entered pursuant to Habeas Rule 4.**

15 **IT FURTHER IS ORDERED** that any procedural defenses raised by respondents in this case
16 shall be raised together in a single consolidated motion to dismiss. In other words, the court does not
17 wish to address any procedural defenses raised herein either in *seriatum* fashion in multiple successive
18 motions to dismiss or embedded in the answer. Procedural defenses omitted from such motion to
19 dismiss will be subject to potential waiver. Respondents shall not file a response in this case that
20 consolidates their procedural defenses, if any, with their response on the merits, except pursuant to 28
21 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(2) as to any unexhausted claims clearly lacking merit. If respondents do seek
22 dismissal of unexhausted claims under § 2254(b)(2): (a) they shall do so within the single motion to
23 dismiss **not** in the answer; and (b) they shall specifically direct their argument to the standard for
24 dismissal under § 2254(b)(2) set forth in *Cassett v. Stewart*, 406 F.3d 614, 623-24 (9th Cir. 2005). In
25 short, no procedural defenses, including exhaustion, shall be included with the merits in an answer. All
26 procedural defenses, including exhaustion, instead must be raised by motion to dismiss.

1 **IT FURTHER IS ORDERED** that, in any answer filed on the merits, respondents shall
2 specifically cite to and address the applicable state court written decision and state court record
3 materials, if any, regarding each claim within the response as to that claim.

4 **IT FURTHER IS ORDERED** that petitioner shall have **thirty (30) days** from service of the
5 answer, motion to dismiss, or other response to file a reply or opposition, with any other requests for
6 relief by respondents by motion otherwise being subject to the normal briefing schedule under the local
7 rules.

8 **IT FURTHER IS ORDERED** that any additional state court record exhibits filed herein by
9 either petitioner or respondents shall be filed with a separate index of exhibits identifying the exhibits
10 by number. The CM/ECF attachments that are filed further shall be identified by the number or
11 numbers of the exhibits in the attachment. The hard copy of any additional state court record exhibits
12 shall be forwarded – for this case – to the staff attorneys in Reno.

13 **IT FURTHER IS ORDERED** that petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel (ECF #2)
14 is **DENIED**.

15 Dated March 10, 2015.



16
17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28